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Order Description

W​‌‍‍‍‌‍‍‍‍‍‌‌‍‍‍‍‌‍‌‌​rite a “referee report” for the paper you’ve been assigned to present. The submission deadline is November 22, 2020 (Week 14). The report must be 4 to 5 pages (not including list of references), typed double spaced, while using standard 1” margins and a 12 point font such as Times New Roman. Your job is to advise the editor on whether or not to accept the paper. You will, however, have one option: you will recommend major revision ([i.e](https://i.e/)., your comments). A major revision means that the manuscript is considered to have potential for publication; however more extensive revisions are required before further consideration. Format of the referee report 1) An introduction paragraph that provides a very short overview of the main thrust of the paper, it further outlines your major comments on the paper, and states your recommendation. 2) A 1 to 2 page summary of the paper, focusing on the main points of the paper and the points that will be important to your critique. It is impossible to condense all the details of a 30-page paper into 1 to 2 pages. Your job is to​‌‍‍‍‌‍‍‍‍‍‌‌‍‍‍‍‌‍‌‌​ decide what the editor absolutely has to know to understand what the paper is about. 3) A discussion of the main strengths and contributions of the paper (this can be incorporated into section 2 if you like). This section should be written in good English, with paragraphs and everything. 4) A discussion of your major criticisms of the paper, the points that most need to be redressed before acceptance. Ideally you would make specific suggestions for improving the paper. It suffices for our purposes to point out the major limitations of the paper (every paper has something wrong with it), even if you cannot see anything the authors could have done better. They very likely did not have any other choice. This should be done in bullet points. Do not forget to connect your suggestions with other articles in the field. 5) Minor criticisms that the authors should fix, but that aren’t major barriers to publication. This should be done in bullet points. 6) A concluding section (a real referee report wouldn’t have this section, but this is also a writing exercise) 7) Re​‌‍‍‍‌‍‍‍‍‍‌‌‍‍‍‍‌‍‌‌​ference list.