

Submit the Written Project Paper

Submit Assignment

Due Jan 29 by 4pm **Points** 100 **Submitting** a file upload
File Types doc, docx, and odt

Description:

It is important for nurse leaders to engage with other nurse leaders to understand professional roles. One of these roles include being a change agent.

- You will engage with your clinical preceptor to identify a minor issue or concern that require an immediate change.
- You will work collaboratively or independently to make the change.
- Consider an appropriate PICOT resolution.
- Develop a 15-questionnaire survey to determine if the problem can be changed by your resolution.
- Use the attached rubric for more detailed guidelines.
- Using the research strategy, you previously learned, complete the project and document the steps in writing.

Expectations:

- Paper should be between 1500 to 2000 **Does not include references**
- Attach supporting tables, checklist, blank questionnaire, teaching pamphlet, policy, short video, etc.
- APA Style in-text citations and references where appropriate.
- Minimum of 5 scholarly references to support your data.

Submission Guidelines

- Submit your paper to Canvas, and it will be automatically checked by Turnitin.
- Use only doc and docx file types - **PDF files are not accepted**. You may download Micosoft Office for free under *Pages***

Written Project Grading Rubric 100 points

Criteria	Ratings				Pts
Introduction	<p>15 to >13.0 pts Excellent</p> <p>Complete background information on the chosen topic), Assessment – Define the issue. Why is this a clinical problem? What are the risk factors? Current statistics rate in the US. Why the topic interests you? What are the consequences if issue is not acknowledged? Define the PICO question.</p>	<p>13 to >12.0 pts Good</p> <p>Introduction: Lacks any 1 - 2 components listed under excellent category</p>	<p>12 to >11.25 pts Satisfactory</p> <p>Introduction: Lacks any 3- 4 components listed under excellent category.</p>	<p>11.25 to >0 pts Unsatisfactory</p> <p>Introduction : Lacks more than 4 components listed under excellent category.</p>	15 pts
Literature Review	<p>10 to >9.0 pts Excellent</p> <p>Literature review addresses the current policy/interventions/knowledge on how to achieve the objective. What other researchers views about the current policy/interventions? Any other interventions you believe are pertinent Strategies/techniques researchers used to resolve similar issues. Minimum of 5 journals cited in this section</p>	<p>9 to >8.0 pts Good</p> <p>Literature Review: Lacks any 1- 2 component listed under excellent category .</p>	<p>8 to >7.5 pts Satisfactory</p> <p>Literature Review: Lacks any 2- 3 components listed under excellent category.</p>	<p>7.5 to >0 pts Unsatisfactory</p> <p>Literature Review: Lacks more than 3 components listed under excellent category.</p>	10 pts

Criteria	Ratings				Pts
Methodology	<p>25 to >22.5 pts Excellent</p> <p>Included a change theorist and theory. Role of the RN regarding the issue? Target population. Sample size. 15-point questionnaire included (mandatory). Nursing interventions used. Tool used (teaching/brochure/new policies etc. Barriers and enablers to the change. Detailed explanation on how the change intervention was implemented. Checklist to help you to evaluate your project. Analysis of the data.</p>	<p>22.5 to >20.0 pts Good</p> <p>Methodology lacks any 1-2 component listed under excellent category.</p>	<p>20 to >18.75 pts Satisfactory</p> <p>Methodology lacks any 3-4 components listed under excellent category.</p>	<p>18.75 to >0 pts Unsatisfactory</p> <p>Methodology lacks more than 4 components listed under excellent category.</p>	25 pts
Ethics	<p>5 to >4.5 pts Excellent</p> <p>IV. Ethic – Who approved project activity? Why ethical consideration? Thoroughly discusses why ethical consideration, and who approved the paper.</p>	<p>4.5 to >4.0 pts Good</p> <p>Ethics section lacks any 1 component listed under excellent category with good discussion of ethical consideration</p>	<p>4 to >3.75 pts Satisfactory</p> <p>Ethics section lacks any 1 component listed under excellent category with acceptable discussion of ethical consideration</p>	<p>3.75 to >0 pts Unsatisfactory</p> <p>Ethics section lacks any 1 component listed under excellent category with scant discussion of ethical consideration</p>	5 pts

Criteria	Ratings				Pts
Results	<p>10 to >9.0 pts Excellent</p> <p>How many needs were identified? What were they? Was there a main problem? What were the demeanor/reaction of the clinical preceptor, staff /and/or participants about the need(s) and the change? Was a clear need for change identified? What did the literature say about the effect of the problem on the participants?</p>	<p>9 to >8.0 pts Good</p> <p>Results lacks any 1-2 component listed under excellent category</p>	<p>8 to >7.5 pts Satisfactory</p> <p>Results lacks any 3-4 components listed under excellent category</p>	<p>7.5 to >0 pts Unsatisfactory</p> <p>Results lacks more than 4 components listed under excellent category.</p>	10 pts
Discussion	<p>10 to >9.0 pts Excellent</p> <p>Discussion: What did you find after analyzing the data? Explain. Limitations to the study- ex. Sample size, studies, age, race, gender, etc. Any new ideas about how would conduct a similar future study? Nursing Implications (mandatory).</p>	<p>9 to >8.0 pts Good</p> <p>Discussion lacks any 1 component listed under excellent category with detailed explanation.</p>	<p>8 to >7.5 pts Satisfactory</p> <p>Discussion lacks any 2 components listed under excellent category with acceptable explanation</p>	<p>7.5 to >0 pts Unsatisfactory</p> <p>Discussion lacks more than 2 components listed under excellent category with scant explanation.</p>	10 pts
Conclusion	<p>5 to >4.5 pts Excellent</p> <p>Summary of project relating PICOT question to findings? Any deviations. Yes/No Was an evidence-based approach used. List them. Etc</p>	<p>4.5 to >4.0 pts Good</p> <p>Good explanation of project relating PICOT question to findings.</p>	<p>4 to >3.75 pts Satisfactory</p> <p>Acceptable explanation of project relating PICOT question to findings</p>	<p>3.75 to >0 pts Unsatisfactory</p> <p>Scant explanation or no conclusion of project relating PICOT question to findings.</p>	5 pts

Criteria	Ratings				Pts	
References	5 to >4.5 pts Excellent Minimum 5 and more evidenced based references. Resources must be less than 5yrs old. Follows APA 7th edition formatting	4.5 to >4.0 pts Good This section missing 1 reference and follows APA 7th edition formatting.	4 to >3.75 pts Satisfactory This section missing 1 reference and does not follow APA 7th edition formatting.	3.75 to >0 pts Unsatisfactory This section missing 2 or more reference and may or may not follow APA 7th edition formatting.	5 pts	
Appendix	5 to >4.5 pts Excellent Appendix: Complete list of Checklist, Blank questionnaire, analysis, teaching pamphlet/policy/short video/ Tables etc.	4.5 to >4.0 pts Good Appendix lacks any 1 of the following component Checklist, Blank questionnaire, analysis, teaching pamphlet/policy.	4 to >3.75 pts Satisfactory Appendix lacks any 2 of the following component Checklist, Blank questionnaire, analysis, teaching pamphlet/policy.	3.75 to >0 pts Unsatisfactory Appendix lacks 3 or more of the following component Checklist, Blank questionnaire, analysis, teaching pamphlet/policy.	5 pts	
APA Style - APA 7th Edition	10 to >9.0 pts Excellent APA Style: See APA 7th ed. Follows strict APA 7th edition formatting. Title page. In-text citations. where appropriate. Double-spaced throughout. Page header and number are typed at the top of each page Spelling is correct. Personal pronouns (I, me, us, we) are not used. No slangs. Sentences are complete. All sentences in each paragraph pertain to the same subject. Paper should be between 1500– 2000 words. Does not include references		9 to >8.0 pts Good APA lacks any 1 component under the excellent category and is 1500 words more	8 to >7.5 pts Satisfactory APA lacks any 2 components under the excellent category and is 1500 words or less.	7.5 to >0 pts Unsatisfactory APA lacks any 3 or more components under the excellent category and is less than 1500 words.	10 pts
Total Points: 100						