|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Criteria | High Distinction  7 | Distinction  6 | Credit  5 | Pass  4 | Fail  1-3 |
| Take-home exam 140 | | | | | |
| Legal problem solving  Demonstrated understanding of the law through application of relevant legal principle to the facts of a problem to reach a legally justifiable conclusion. | The relevant legal principles have been applied to the facts of the problem in relevant detail, demonstrating a precise, sophisticated and thorough understanding of the law and its application.  The answers always provides a high number of appropriate authorities (treaties, cases, other interpretative materials) that are meaningfully employed to enhance application of law.  The conclusions to all of the relevant and identified issues and the overall problem are justifiable and defensible based upon the analysis of the application of the law to the facts of the problem. | The relevant legal principles have been applied to the facts of the problem, except in 1-3 instances where the application has not been sufficiently detailed, but the answer demonstrates a thorough understanding of the law in most aspects.  The answer often provided appropriate authorities (treaties, cases, other interpretative materials). These sources were often used in a precise/specific way that enhanced application/analysis, but not always.  The conclusions to the relevant and identified issues and the overall problem are justifiable and defensible based upon the analysis of the application of the law to the facts of the problem. | The relevant legal principles and / or policies have been applied to the facts of the problem, except in some instances the application has not been sufficiently detailed, but the answer demonstrates a thorough understanding of the law in most aspects.  The answer correctly provides appropriate authorities (treaties, cases, other interpretative materials), however, the analysis was not sufficiently detailed/precise/developed.  The conclusions to the relevant and identified issues are justifiable and defensible based upon the analysis of the application of the law to the facts of the problem. | The relevant legal principles have been broadly applied to the facts of the problem in a logical manner but lacking in sufficient detail, demonstrating an acceptable understanding of the law in most aspects.  The answer correctly provides appropriate authorities for most of the issues identified; however, the use of these authorities was superficial/lacking precision.  The conclusions to the relevant and identified issues were mainly justifiable based upon the analysis of the application of the law to the facts of the problem. | The answer identifies few of the relevant legal issues raised by the facts of the problem; OR the relevant legal principles and / or policies have not been applied to the facts of the problem in a logical manner to demonstrate an acceptable understanding of the law. Therefore any conclusions as to the issues identified are not clearly justifiable based upon the analysis of the application of the law to the facts of the problem.  The answer may / may not provide appropriate authorities, however, a good understanding and use of the materials was lacking |