

Course Project Part 2 dropbox

Submit Assignment

Due Sunday by 11:59pm **Points** 50 **Submitting** a file upload
File Types doc, docx, and pdf **Available** until Jun 22 at 4am

[See Project 2 instructions](#)

Grading

Your submission will be graded based on criteria found in the rubric. For more information, see the rubric below.

Course Project Rubric - 2

Criteria	Ratings				Pts
<p>Format</p> <p>Length is no more than 2 pages excluding Works Cited & Appendix. Paper uses 1" margins, 12-point font, and is double-spaced.</p>	<p>8.0 pts Meets all criteria</p>	<p>4.0 pts Meets some criteria</p>	<p>2.0 pts Meets few criteria</p>	<p>0.0 pts Meets none of the criteria</p>	8.0 pts
<p>Organization and style</p> <p>The report is well structured with a brief introduction and conclusion. Paragraphs are appropriately used to separate ideas. Writing flows seamlessly within and between paragraphs. Appropriate vocabulary used.</p>	<p>8.0 pts Meets all criteria at a high level; clear and easy to follow</p>	<p>4.0 pts Meets some criteria; uneven or has some lapses in clarity or development</p>	<p>2.0 pts Meets few criteria; often unclear or undeveloped</p>	<p>0.0 pts No apparent development</p>	8.0 pts
<p>Research</p> <p>Data collected is accurate and manipulated correctly. Discussion of sources are incorporated seamlessly and parenthetically cited in the text.</p>	<p>10.0 pts Meets all criteria at a high level; clear and easy to follow</p>	<p>5.0 pts Meets some criteria; uneven or has some lapses in clarity or development</p>	<p>2.5 pts Meets few criteria; often unclear or undeveloped</p>	<p>0.0 pts No research conducted</p>	10.0 pts
<p>Spreadsheet, Calculations and Assumptions</p> <p>Graphs are presented clearly. Discussion methodology is correct, clear, and easy to follow. Variables selected for analysis clearly explained, reasonable, and well defended.</p>	<p>10.0 pts Meets all criteria at a high level; clear and easy to follow</p>	<p>6.0 pts Meets some criteria; uneven or has some lapses in clarity or development</p>	<p>3.0 pts Meets few criteria; often unclear or undeveloped</p>	<p>0.0 pts No spreadsheet provided</p>	10.0 pts
<p>Interpretation of Results</p> <p>Clearly and succinctly explains results. Offers insightful analysis of results. Uses appropriate sources to put results into context of and the economics literature.</p>	<p>10.0 pts Meets all criteria at a high level; clear and easy to follow</p>	<p>6.0 pts Meets some criteria; uneven or has some lapses in clarity or development</p>	<p>3.0 pts Meets few criteria; often unclear or undeveloped</p>	<p>0.0 pts No interpretation of results</p>	10.0 pts

Criteria	Ratings				Pts
Responding to Others	4.0 pts Responds to two classmates thoughtfully and provides added analysis to the discussion	2.0 pts Responds to two classmates but doesn't provide much analysis; or responds to one classmate with thoughtful analysis	1.0 pts Responds to one classmate but doesn't provide much analysis; or responds to two classmates with no analysis	0.0 pts No responses	4.0 pts
					Total Points: 50.0