P‌‌‌‍‍‌‍‍‌‍‌‍‌‍‍‌‌‌‌lease declare which prompt you are addressing at the top of your paper. 1. (Recommended prompt) Instead of giving a definition of the a priori, we might try to characterize it with an axiom system. To do this, we would need to specify several basic truths about the a priori to act as our axioms. For this prompt, critically discuss one of the following proposed axioms. Does the axiom suffer from counterexamples? If so, can it be replaced with a similar axiom that doesn’t fall prey to the same problems? Are there any problems for the replacement axiom? (A) If p is known a priori, p is necessarily true. (B) If p is known a priori, p is analytically true. (C) If p is known a priori, p cannot be undermined by a posteriori evi‌‌‌‍‍‌‍‍‌‍‌‍‌‍‍‌‌‌‌dence. Rubric (1) A good faith, academically honest submission that addresses the prompt. +65 (2) Describes what an axiom system is. (up to 5 points available). (3) Explains how an axiom system can be used in lieu of a definition. (up to 5 points available). (4) Up to 5 points are available for each of the following (maximum 20): ([4.1](https://4.0.0.1/)) Clearly presents at least one of the axioms suggested and says why it is prima facie plausible. ([4.2](https://4.0.0.2/)) Discusses at least one putative counterexample for this axiom. ([4.3](https://4.0.0.3/)) Offers either a defense of the axiom against the counterexample or presents at least one attempt to repair the axiom to avoid the counterexample. ([4.4](https://4.0.0.4/)) Offers at least one putative problem for the repaired axi‌‌‌‍‍‌‍‍‌‍‌‍‌‍‍‌‌‌‌om.